

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/587,597	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PEDERSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JAMES M. HEWITT	<b>Art Unit</b> 3679	

**All Participants:**

(1) JAMES M. HEWITT.

(2) Steve Jensen.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 December 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10, 24, 27, 34

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend independent claims 10, 27 and 34 to indicate that the connector was a pipe connector and the female and male parts were pipes, and to amend claims 24, 27 and 34 to obviate certain informalities in order to place the case in condition for allowance. These amendments are reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.